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Abstract

BACKGROUND: The presence of fitness costs of resistance to Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) insecticidal proteins in insect populations may delay or even reverse the local selection of insect resistance to Bt transgenic crops, and deserves rigorous investigation. Here we assessed the fitness costs associated with Cry1Fa resistance in two strains of fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), derived from field collections in different Brazilian regions and further selected in the laboratory for high levels of resistance to Cry1Fa using leaves of TC1507 corn.

RESULTS: Fitness components were compared using paired resistant and susceptible strains with similar genetic backgrounds and F1 generations from reciprocal crosses, all of them reared on non-transgenic corn leaves. No apparent life history costs in the larval stage were observed in the Bt-resistant strains. Moreover, the resistance remained stable for seven generations in the absence of selection, with no decrease in the proportion of resistant individuals. Larval respiration rates were also similar between resistant and susceptible homozygotes, and heterozygotes displayed respiration rates and demographic performance equal or superior to those of susceptible homozygotes.

CONCLUSION: In combination, these results indicate the lack of strong fitness costs associated with resistance to Cry1Fa in the fall armyworm strains studied. These findings suggest that Cry1Fa resistance in S. frugiperda populations is unlikely to be counterselected in Cry1Fa-free environments.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Acquisition of adaptation to a new environment, such as insecticide resistance, may result in phenotypic changes deleterious to the organism in the absence of the xenobiotic.1–3 Costs associated with insecticide resistance are not always detected, and in some instances the fitness of resistant individuals is actually enhanced in the absence of the insecticide.1,3 For resistance to Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) insecticidal proteins produced in transgenic plants, fitness costs may play an important role in the rate of resistance evolution in environments free of Bt proteins (i.e. refuge areas),4,5 although the occurrence and magnitude of trade-offs between Bt resistance and insect fitness vary widely.6

When developing pest-specific insect resistance management strategies, it is valuable to understand the potential for fitness costs to delay or potentially reverse evolution of local resistance in pest populations.5,6,7 When present, fitness costs reduce the coefficient of selection, favoring susceptible individuals relative to resistant individuals in refuge areas.6 Under these conditions, refuge and other environments without Bt proteins select against resistance,6 which helps to decrease the spread and frequency of resistance alleles in field populations.6,7,8 Another factor that modulates resistance evolution is the dominance level of fitness costs, which can be recessive, affecting only homozygous resistant individuals, or non-recessive (e.g. additive or dominant), affecting both homozygous resistant and heterozygous genotypes.6,7,8,10 As the majority of resistance alleles are carried by heterozygous individuals when resistance first evolves in a population, non-recessive
fitness costs, those affecting heterozygotes, are most effective at delaying resistance. Therefore, accurate estimates of the fitness cost associated with resistance and its dominance level are important to understand the evolution of resistance and define resistance management measures to mitigate resistance to transgenic plants.16–18 Fitness costs are detected when one or more fitness components in resistant insects are impaired relative to susceptible ones or when the frequency of the resistance allele decreases over time in the absence of selection. In some studies, insect life history traits in resistant and susceptible strains are compared,19–21 and in other studies, the stability of resistance in the absence of exposure to Bt protein is also monitored.22,23 Additionally, increase in the metabolic rate16 may indicate high energy demands to maintain the resistance mechanism; for example, production of enzymes that degrade the Bt protein17 or replacement of damaged epithelial cells in the insect gut to restore homeostasis, which may come at a cost for growth and reproduction.

The fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is a major polyphagous pest in corn as well as in other crops and has evolved resistance to transgenic Bt corn producing Cry1Fa in Puerto Rico,18 the United States19 and Brazil.20 These reported cases indicate a high risk for resistance evolution against Bt insecticidal proteins for managing S. frugiperda populations. Previous studies found that fitness costs of Cry1Fa resistance can be absent13–15 or present in fall armyworm strains,21 indicating the importance of conducting independent studies using populations of different genetic background.

Here we used two fall armyworm strains selected for high levels of resistance to Cry1Fa using corn leaves containing event TC1507.22,23 Both strains originated from field collections in Brazilian states other than Bahia,22,23 where field-relevant resistance to this Bt corn and its fitness costs were characterized.15,20 We show that the fall armyworm fitness of both Cry1Fa-resistant strains was not compromised on non-Bt corn leaves, and the implications of these findings for resistance management of S. frugiperda to Cry1Fa corn and other Bt crops are discussed.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Insect strains and rearing

Two Cry1Fa-susceptible and two Cry1Fa-resistant strains of S. frugiperda were used in the experiments. The first susceptible strain (Lab-SS) was maintained in the laboratory in the absence of selection pressure with Bt proteins for over 15 years, while the second susceptible strain (MT) was derived from collections on non-Bt corn fields in four corn-producing Brazilian states in 2011. The first Cry1Fa-resistant strain (MTH) was derived from the MT strain by continuous exposure to TC1507. The second resistant strain (IrmaF) was derived from collections in TC1507 transgenic corn fields in two counties of Minas Gerais (Brazil), and selected for resistance to Cry1Fa using the TC1507 Bt corn event.22 The resistance in these strains is incompletely recessive, autosomal and without maternal effects22,23 All of the strains were maintained at the Federal University of Viçosa (UFV), Brazil, and reared according to Kasten et al.,24 with slight modifications.

2.2 Sources of Bt and non-Bt corn leaf tissue

Two corn hybrids commonly planted in Brazil were used: Bt corn 30F35H (event TC1507, producing Cry1Fa) and its isogenic, non-Bt corn hybrid 30F35 (Dupont Pioneer, Santa do Cruz do Sul, RS, Brazil). Corn hybrid seeds were sown in 4 L pots in the main experimental station of UFV using five seeds per pot and leaving four plants per pot after thinning. Plants were irrigated daily and fertilized at 10 and 35 days using 40 g of NPK 8-28-16 fertilizer per pot. Other cultivation practices were performed as recommended for growing corn in the region,25 without applying pesticides and with weeds managed manually. All experiments using plant materials were implemented using V4–V9-stage plants. Bt gene expression by the corn plants was checked using ImmunoStrip STX 10301/0050 test strips (Agdia Inc., Elkhart, IN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All tests were as expected for the presence of the Cry1F protein (Bt plants) or its absence (non-Bt isoline plants).

2.3 Preparing insects for fitness costs studies

To minimize confounding effects related to genetic differences between susceptible and resistant populations, the Cry1Fa resistance trait from the IrmaF strain was introgressed into the Lab-SS strain using repeated rounds of recombination and selection.26,27 Briefly, the IrmaF strain was crossed with the susceptible strain, and the F1 progeny was reared on non-Bt corn. Cry1Fa-resistant individuals were selected in the F2 and F3 generations on TC1507 Bt corn leaves. The F3 generation was backcrossed with the susceptible strain, and the progeny was reselected with Cry1Fa corn as described above. This backcross and selection process was repeated 3 times, as in Wang et al., producing an isogenic resistant strain named Lab-RR. As the Cry1Fa-resistant strain MTH was derived from the susceptible MT strain,23,29 no crosses were necessary.

Concentration–response bioassays using surface-treated larval diet20 were conducted as described elsewhere22,23 to confirm the level of resistance to Cry1Fa attained by the new introgressed strain (Lab-RR) in relation to the parental susceptible and resistant strains. Briefly, seven concentrations of Cry1Fa plus a control diet (no toxin) were applied to the surface of the rearing diet in 128-well trays (CD International, Pitman, NJ); one neonate (<24 h after hatching) was transferred to each well, and mortality and larval weight of survivors relative to controls (i.e. growth inhibition) were assessed after 7 days of toxin exposure. The Cry1Fa protein used was supplied by Dr Marianne Carey (Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH). The protein was activated with trypsin, purified by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), shipped in lyophilized form and stored at −80 °C. The toxicity profile of the Cry1Fa stock used was similar to that reported elsewhere.18,23,30

In addition, survival rates from neonate to pupae were also compared among the three strains (IrmaF, Lab-RR and Lab-SS) using leaf tissue bioassays with Cry1Fa corn and its non-Bt isolate in the V4–V5 stage. A total of 160 individuals of each strain in 16 replicates were assayed in a completely randomized experiment. Briefly, 340 mg of leaf sections were placed in each well (5.6 × 3.6 × 3 cm) of 16-well PVC trays (Advenito do Brasil, Diadema, SP), and ten neonates (<24 h after hatching) were transferred to each well using a fine hair brush. After 7 days, the larvae were transferred singly to new trays (one larva per well), and leaf sections were replaced daily until pupation, when survival rates were recorded.

Using the four above-mentioned strains, the relative fitness of eight S. frugiperda phenotypes was compared: resistant (Lab-RR, MTH) and susceptible (Lab-SS, MT) to Cry1Fa, assumed to be homozygous resistant (rr) and susceptible (ss) respectively,
and the progenies derived from reciprocal crosses between the resistant and susceptible strains (s♀r♂ and s♂r♀) respectively. To generate heterozygous armyworms, 120 pupae per strain (resistant and susceptible) were separated by sex. The adults were maintained in polyvinyl chloride (PVC) cages (40 cm height × 30 cm diameter) for mating S♀ × R♂ and S♂ × R♀ and fed a solution of 10% sugar and 5% ascorbic acid soaked in cotton.28 The cages were lined with bond paper sheets to provide an oviposition substrate. The eggs were collected every other day for 4 days and placed in plastic bags (35 × 40 cm) until hatching. The insects were maintained in a temperature-controlled environment set at 27 ± 2°C, 70 ± 15% relative humidity and 14:10 h L:D photoperiod.

2.4 Growth, development and pupal weight
To assess existence of fitness costs associated with development, randomly selected neonates (<24 h after hatching) (n = 64) of each strain or cross (rr, ss, s♀r♂, s♂r♀) were weighed and placed individually on non-Bt corn leaves arranged in 16-well PVC trays (Advento do Brasil, Diadema, São Paulo). Corn leaves were replaced every other day until insect pupation. Developmental time was calculated based on the day of hatching until pupation, and pupal weight and sex were assessed within 24 h of pupation. The growth rate (GR) was calculated using the formula: GR = (W2 − W1)/T, where W1 and W2 are the weights of the neonates and pupae, respectively, and T is the time in days from neonate to pupa.21

2.5 Reproductive rate
For each of the four groups (rr, ss, s♀r♂, s♂r♀), 15 pairs were formed using virgin males and females obtained from the developmental time study. Each pair was placed in a PVC mating cage (10 cm height × 10 cm diameter) and maintained as described above. The eggs produced by each female were collected daily until the end of the oviposition period (approximately 7 days) to estimate the fertility of each group. Egg masses were weighed and transferred to 200 mL plastic containers for hatching. Egg fertility was determined by counting the number of eggs hatched per egg mass daily.

2.6 Life table-statistics
Survival, development and reproduction data were used to estimate parameters describing the population growth potential of the four groups (rr, ss, s♀r♂, s♂r♀). Life table statistics, including the net reproductive rate (number of females produced per parental female, R0), mean generation time (T), intrinsic rate of population increase (i.e. daily production of females per parental female, r∞) and mean time for the population to double its size (doubling time, Dt), were calculated22,23 using an SAS protocol previously described.34,35

2.7 Larval respiration rate
Respirometric assays were performed under laboratory conditions using a TR3C respirometer equipped with a CO2 analyzer (Sable Systems International, Las Vegas, NV), as previously described,36 with slight modifications. To measure the mean respiratory rate (CO2 production), 15 fourth instars (~14 days old) from each group were randomly selected and individually placed in glass chambers of 25 mL volumetric capacity. The chambers were connected to a completely closed system for 3 h before scanning the CO2 produced by the insects (µL CO2 insect⁻¹ h⁻¹), which was removed by CO2-free airflow and measured using a CO2 infrared reader connected to the system.

2.8 Resistance stability
A resistance stability experiment was implemented to test whether the frequency of resistant individuals would decline over time using a selection-based stability analysis.13,14 Two lines (MT-RS and Lab-RS) originating from two sets of reciprocal crosses, MTH × MT and Lab-RR × Lab-SS, were used. F1 adults of each set of reciprocal crosses were allowed randomly mate to in two PVC mating cages described previously to generate progenies with predicted homozygous susceptible (25%) and resistant (25%) as well as heterozygous genotypes (50%) (defined as the F1 generation of each line in the stability analysis). Both lines were maintained using a minimum population size of 200 adults during seven generations in the same conditions described for insect rearing.

To determine the frequency of resistant individuals, in each generation a portion of the neonates (256 of each line) were exposed to discriminating Cry1Fa concentrations that kill 99% of susceptible homozygous and heterozygous individuals using standard bioassays30 conducted using materials and the same conditions described above. The discriminating concentrations used for MT-RS and Lab-RS lines were 1978 and 2455 ng cm⁻² Cry1Fa respectively. These concentrations kill 99% (LC99) of the susceptible homozygous and heterozygous individuals without affecting resistant homozygotes, which are not susceptible to Cry1Fa concentrations lower than 10 000 ng cm⁻².23

2.9 Statistical analysis
Concentration–response bioassays carried out when preparing the insects for fitness comparisons were modeled with probit analysis37 using PoloPlus software,38 and LC50 and EC50 values obtained were used to estimate resistance ratios. Leaf tissue assays were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance to compare neonate-to-pupa survival among introgressed and parent strains on Cry1Fa corn and survival of the standard susceptible strain on non-Bt corn. Data from the diagnostic bioassays for stability of resistance were subjected to one-way analysis of variance to test whether survival rates in each line decreased during the seven generations without selection pressure. Homogeneity of variance and normality were checked for the above datasets (PROC MIXED, PROC UNIVARIATE, PROC GPLOT),35 and no transformation was needed.

Pupal weight, development time and relative growth rate were analyzed using a two-way analysis of variance with S. frugiperda group and sex as the main effects, and the means were separated using Fisher’s least significant difference procedure (P < 0.05). Data on daily egg weight, number of eggs and neonates per female and respiratory rate (µL CO2 insect⁻¹ h⁻¹) were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance, and the means were separated using Fisher’s least significant difference (P < 0.05, PROC GLM).39 Residual analyses were performed for all response variables to assess whether the assumptions of homogeneity of variance and normality were met (PROC MIXED, PROC UNIVARIATE, PROC GPLOT),35 and no data transformation was needed.

The variances associated with the population growth parameters were estimated using the jackknife method39,40 using a SAS protocol developed by Maia et al.34 This procedure computes confidence intervals for all of the estimated life table parameters and P values associated with unilateral or bilateral t-tests to perform pairwise comparisons between groups.
Table 1. Toxicity of Cry1Fa to three Spodoptera frugiperda strains used to control for genetic background in the fitness cost study. IrmaF is a strain previously selected for resistance to the protein,22 Lab-SS is the laboratory standard susceptible strain and Lab-RR is the laboratory strain introgressed with the Cry1Fa resistance trait.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response variable</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Slope ± SE</th>
<th>LC50 or EC50 (95% CL)</th>
<th>Resistance ratio</th>
<th>χ²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>IrmaF</td>
<td>nc³</td>
<td>&gt;10000</td>
<td>&gt;183</td>
<td>nc³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lab-RR</td>
<td>nc³</td>
<td>&gt;10000</td>
<td>&gt;183</td>
<td>nc³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lab-SS</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.25</td>
<td>54.63 (38.02 – 70.06)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth inhibition</td>
<td>IrmaF</td>
<td>nc³</td>
<td>&gt;10000</td>
<td>&gt;2100</td>
<td>nc³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lab-RR</td>
<td>nc³</td>
<td>&gt;10000</td>
<td>&gt;2100</td>
<td>nc³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lab-SS</td>
<td>1.74 ± 1.08</td>
<td>4.76 (0.09 – 21.11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.43*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Concentration killing 50% of the larvae (LC50) or inhibiting 50% larval growth (EC50) and their respective 95% confidence limits, expressed as ng cm⁻² of Cry1Fa applied to the surface of the diet.
b Resistance ratio, LC50 or EC50/LC50 or EC50 for the Lab colony. The number of insects tested in the bioassays was 499, 256 and 998 for IrmaF, Lab-RR and Lab-SS respectively.
c Not calculated because of insufficient concentration–response results.

*Non-significant lack of fit (P > 0.05), indicates that the probit model fitted the data satisfactorily.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Preparing insects for fitness cost studies

An attempt was made to introgress the Cry1Fa resistance trait from the IrmaF strain into the susceptible laboratory population (Lab-SS) to obtain an isogenic strain named Lab-RR, which showed a level of resistance to Cry1Fa similar to that shown by the parent strain IrmaF in artificial diet (Table 1). Likewise, in leaf tissue bioassays, neonate-to-pupa survival on Cry1Fa corn for the resistant strains was not different from the survival on non-Bt corn for the standard susceptible strain (mean percentage ± SE, Lab-RR: 52.5 ± 6.4; IrmaF: 58.1 ± 4.9; Lab-SS: 61.9 ± 2.4; F = 0.48; df = 2, 45; P = 0.40). The Lab-RR strain was therefore considered to be appropriate for use in the fitness cost studies.

3.2 Developmental time, pupal weight and growth rate

Life-history traits related to growth and development are shown in Fig. 1, and their analyses of variance are summarized in supporting information Table S1. Interactions between S. frugiperda genotype and sex were significant (P < 0.05) for some life history traits but not for others, and no clear pattern existed for any of the traits measured in either strain. However, when comparing the susceptible strain with the resistant strain and their progenies, pupal weight significantly increased for Lab-RR and heterozygous males, and growth rate was significantly higher for Lab-RR and heterozygous males and for heterozygous R♂ S♀ females. Importantly, no major and significant fitness disadvantage in relation to MT susceptible strain was observed in either heterozygotes (F₁, progenies S♂R♀ and S♂R♀) or MTH resistant strain. However, developmental time was significantly reduced for heterozygous R♂S♀ and R♂S♀ (MTH × MT) males and for heterozygous R♂S♀ (Lab-RR × Lab-SS) males (Figs 1B and E).

3.3 Fecundity and progeny production

When assessing fecundity and progeny production, no significant differences were observed on the parameters daily egg mass weight, number of eggs per female and number of neonates per female (F < 1.45, P > 0.23) within the matched pairs of resistant and susceptible strains (i.e. MTH versus MT and Lab-RR versus Lab-SS) and their heterozygotes (S♀R♂ and S♀R♀) (Table 2).

3.4 Life table parameters

No significant differences in the net reproductive rate (R₀) and intrinsic rate of population increase (rₐ) were observed between the resistant and susceptible strains (Table 3). R₀ and rₐ were higher for the heterozygotes derived from the Lab-RR♀ × Lab-SS♂ cross than for their homozygous parents (Table 3). Likewise, the mean generation time (T) was not different in resistant and susceptible strains, but was significantly lower for MTH♀ × MT♂.

3.5 Larval respiration rate

CO₂ production differed between homozygous (resistant and susceptible) and heterozygous larvae (F = 20.07; df = 3, 55; P < 0.05), with the F₁ hybrids (i.e. heterozygous larvae) showing a respiration rate higher than their resistant or susceptible homozygous parents (Fig. 2). Importantly, no significant differences in respiration rate were observed between the resistant and susceptible homozygotes (MTH versus MT and Lab-RR versus Lab-SS).

3.6 Resistance stability

Analysis of variance for larval survival rates obtained in the discriminating-concentration bioassays detected no significant differences among the seven generations tested for both MT-RS (F = 0.66; df = 6, 91; P = 0.68) and Lab-RS (F = 0.77; df = 6, 91; P = 0.60) lines. The mean percentage survival remained near 20% in both strains (Fig. 3), indicating a constant proportion of homozygous resistant individuals in both strains, or that the resistance allele frequency remained stable during the generations tested.

4 DISCUSSION

Fitness and resistance stability in two S. frugiperda strains resistant to Cry1Fa with distinct genetic backgrounds were examined in this study. The results revealed no major differences in the net reproductive rate (R₀) and the intrinsic rate of population increase (rₐ) between the resistant and susceptible genotypes, a pattern that was consistent for fecundity measurements. Likewise, there were no differences between resistant and susceptible insects...
in larval respiration rate experiments, and Cry1Fa resistance was stable over seven generations in the absence of selection in both resistant strains studied, indicating absence of fitness costs associated with resistance to Cry1Fa. These findings corroborate those reported for Cry1Fa-resistant S. frugiperda populations from Puerto Rico and Brazil. Life table statistics showed that the heterozygous individuals had similar or even higher demographic performance compared with susceptible homozygotes, which was also previously reported and indicates the potential presence of hybrid vigor. The similarity between resistant strains from Puerto Rico and Brazil is indicative of a common mechanism that confers high levels of Cry1Fa resistance in S. frugiperda, which appears to be related to the reduced expression of alkaline phosphatase, a receptor protein from the insect midgut that is involved in the mode of action of Cry toxins, with minimal pleiotropic effects of the resistance allele on fitness of resistant individuals. These results have key implications for resistance management because a lack of fitness costs favors the permanence of resistance alleles in the absence of selection pressure, potentially maintaining the frequency of resistant individuals in the field. This could threaten pyramid crops producing Cry1 protein because of cross-resistance between closely related 8 toxins. For example, a strain of fall armyworm that had field-relevant resistance to Cry1Fa corn rapidly evolved resistance to Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab corn when exposed to this pyramid in the laboratory. For insects

**Figure 1.** Life-history traits related to growth, development and body size (i.e. pupal weight) in two strains of *Spodoptera frugiperda*. Insect genotypes represent two Cry1Fa-resistant (MTH, Lab-RR) and Cry1Fa-susceptible (MT, Lab-SS) strains as well as F1 hybrid progenies from reciprocal crosses between them. Values are means ± standard errors, \( n = 40–55 \). (A, B, C) MTH (resistant) and MT (susceptible) strains and their reciprocal crosses. (D, E, F) Lab-RR (resistant) and Lab-SS (susceptible) strains and their reciprocal crosses. In each panel, black circles (females) with the same upper-case letter and white circles (males) with the same lower-case letter do not differ according to the LSD procedure protected by ANOVA (supporting information Table S1). Asterisks indicate significant difference between males and females of a given genotype.
A study using Cry1Ac-resistant genes showed that the frequency or the spread of resistance alleles and perhaps to find additional evidence for the lack of strong fitness costs associated with the resistance really does not come at a cost for this species. Furthermore, future efforts should try to identify ecological factors that may alter fitness costs to improve our ability to manage the species.

It is possible that the lack of detectable changes in metabolic rates is related to the mechanism of Cry1Fa resistance in *S. frugiperda*, which seems to involve reduced toxin binding to and reduced expression of the alkaline phosphate receptor, having minimal negative effects on fitness of resistant individuals.

Table 2. Fecundity of *Spodoptera frugiperda* strains susceptible or resistant to Cry1Fa and their reciprocal crosses. The larvae were reared on leaves of non-Bt corn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or cross (genotype)</th>
<th>Weight of egg mass per day (mg)</th>
<th>Number of eggs per female</th>
<th>Number of neonates per female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MTH (rr)</td>
<td>15.06 ± 1.36</td>
<td>1206.8 ± 267.1</td>
<td>759.9 ± 136.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTH♀ × MT♂ (rs)</td>
<td>20.56 ± 1.69</td>
<td>1427.6 ± 323.5</td>
<td>894.8 ± 159.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTH♀ × MT♂ (rr)</td>
<td>16.02 ± 2.49</td>
<td>1268.0 ± 229.0</td>
<td>770.0 ± 174.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT (ss)</td>
<td>16.98 ± 3.13</td>
<td>1286.0 ± 273.4</td>
<td>813.9 ± 153.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab-RR (ss)</td>
<td>12.11 ± 2.57</td>
<td>1003.0 ± 217.0</td>
<td>615.3 ± 95.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab-RR♀ × Lab-SS♂ (rs)</td>
<td>18.09 ± 2.50</td>
<td>1272.4 ± 154.7</td>
<td>752.4 ± 105.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab-RR♀ × Lab-SS♂ (rr)</td>
<td>15.35 ± 2.24</td>
<td>1103.1 ± 284.6</td>
<td>732.5 ± 187.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab-SS (ss)</td>
<td>12.97 ± 2.33</td>
<td>1015.3 ± 159.4</td>
<td>695.9 ± 123.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For each fecundity parameter, means ± standard error were not significantly different (*P > 0.05, ANOVA*).

Table 3. Population growth rates (mean and 95% confidence interval) of *Spodoptera frugiperda* strains susceptible or resistant to Cry1Fa and their reciprocal crosses. The larvae were reared on leaves of non-Bt corn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or cross (genotype)</th>
<th>$R_o$</th>
<th>$r_o$</th>
<th>$T$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MTH (rr)</td>
<td>178.6 (104.4 – 252.9) a</td>
<td>0.202 (0.179 – 0.224) a</td>
<td>25.7 (24.5 – 26.8) ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTH♀ × MT♂ (rs)</td>
<td>230.5 (119.3 – 341.8) a</td>
<td>0.218 (0.192 – 0.244) a</td>
<td>24.9 (24.1 – 25.8) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTH♀ × MT♂ (rr)</td>
<td>165.9 (9.10 – 240.9) a</td>
<td>0.194 (0.172 – 0.216) a</td>
<td>26.3 (25.2 – 27.4) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT (ss)</td>
<td>183.0 (101.9 – 264.2) a</td>
<td>0.197 (0.174 – 0.220) a</td>
<td>26.4 (25.1 – 27.7) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab-RR (rr)</td>
<td>144.7 (92.3 – 197.2) b</td>
<td>0.183 (0.171 – 0.195) b</td>
<td>27.1 (26.6 – 27.6) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab-RR♀ × Lab-SS♂ (rs)</td>
<td>223.8 (155.4 – 292.2) a</td>
<td>0.207 (0.191 – 0.222) a</td>
<td>26.1 (25.1 – 27.2) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab-RR♀ × Lab-SS♂ (rr)</td>
<td>178.6 (75.3 – 281.4) ab</td>
<td>0.186 (0.163 – 0.210) ab</td>
<td>27.8 (25.0 – 27.2) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab-SS (ss)</td>
<td>148.3 (90.3 – 206.4) b</td>
<td>0.180 (0.166 – 0.195) b</td>
<td>27.7 (27.1 – 28.2) a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $R_o$, net reproductive rate (females female$^{-1}$ generation$^{-1}$); $r_o$, intrinsic rate of population increase (per day); $T$, mean generation time (days). For each parameter, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different by two-tailed t-tests for pairwise group comparisons (*P > 0.05*) within each isogenic strain (MT or Lab).

It is important to note that the optimal environmental conditions for development of *S. frugiperda* using corn leaves might have affected the non-expression of costs of resistance, which may be more apparent under unfavorable conditions for the individuals. Nevertheless, our results are consistent with previous studies on fitness costs of Cry1Fa resistance in *S. frugiperda* using artificial diet, corn, soybean and cotton as larval food sources, which also failed to identify costs associated with resistance. Future studies should focus on the reproductive behavior of *S. frugiperda* to document further whether Cry1Fa resistance really does not come at a cost for this species. Additionally, future efforts should try to identify ecological factors that may alter fitness costs to improve our ability to manage the frequency or the spread of resistance alleles and perhaps to find opportunities for resistance mitigation.

We observed no differences in the larval respiration rates between resistant and susceptible strains, indicating no detectable change in metabolic rate associated with resistance as would be expected when detoxification enzymes are involved. Thus, Cry1Fa resistance does not seem to affect reallocation of energy to other functions, as growth and reproduction rates were not reduced in the resistant insects, which is in agreement with a study using Cry1Ac-resistant *Spodoptera exigua*. It is possible that the lack of detectable changes in metabolic rates is related to the mechanism of Cry1Fa resistance in *S. frugiperda*, which seems resistant to one toxin in a two-toxin plant, the plant does not act as a pyramid. It is possible that the lack of detectable changes in metabolic rates is related to the mechanism of Cry1Fa resistance in *S. frugiperda*, which seems to involve reduced toxin binding to and reduced expression of the alkaline phosphate receptor, having minimal negative effects on fitness of resistant individuals.}

Although insecticide resistance provides a selective advantage in the presence of the xenobiotic, resistance alleles are rarely fixed in natural populations and tend to decrease in the absence of the selective agent. However, when resistance alleles carry no fitness costs, they tend to remain in the population, thus stabilizing resistance. Our study provided evidence that Cry1Fa resistance remains stable for seven generations in the absence of selection, which is consistent with the lack of costs on the life history traits studied. Similar results were obtained in a field-derived *S. frugiperda* population from Puerto Rico, providing consistent evidence for the lack of strong fitness costs associated with the resistance allele.

Several factors have contributed to the rapid evolution of resistance to Cry1Fa in *S. frugiperda* populations from Brazil, including the incomplete recessiveness, low rates of adoption of the recommended refuge and lack of fitness costs of resistance to Cry1Fa in *S. frugiperda*. In tropical areas such as Brazil, the risk of resistance development is further increased by high pest pressure, multiple generations per year, local agricultural practices (multiple crop cycles per year), the presence of the same technologies in different crops, consistent low adoption of recommended refuge (or its low effectiveness for resistance management owing to insecticide applications) and lack of grower integrated pest management (IPM) implementation. These factors help to explain...
Fitness costs and stability of Cry1Fa resistance in *Spodoptera frugiperda*

Figure 2. Production of CO$_2$ ($\mu$L CO$_2$ insect$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) by larvae of four *Spodoptera frugiperda* genotypes. (A) Cry1Fa-resistant (MTH) and Cry1Fa-susceptible (MT) homozygous strains and their reciprocal crosses; (B) Cry1Fa-resistant (Lab-RR) and Cry1Fa-susceptible (Lab-SS) homozygous strains and their reciprocal crosses. Means ($\pm$ standard error) followed by the same letters do not differ according to the LSD procedure protected by ANOVA, $P > 0.05$, $n = 14$.

Figure 3. Stability of Cry1Fa resistance over seven generations in two lines (MT-RS and Lab-RS) of *Spodoptera frugiperda*. The lines resulted from two sets of reciprocal crosses between two Cry1Fa-selected and Cry1Fa-susceptible strains, MTH (RR) x MT (SS) and LabRR x LabSS. Values are means ($\pm$ standard error) of percentage survival of neonates exposed to a discriminating concentration of Cry1Fa for seven days using diet surface bioassays.

Why resistance of *S. frugiperda* against Cry1Fa evolved relatively quickly in Brazil. Furthermore, the ability of this insect species to develop resistance against pest control tactics indicates that all biotechnologies are at risk, and that IPM strategies are necessary to help preserve the durability of the technologies that remain effective for pest control.

The most effective strategy to mitigate resistance is to implement several practices simultaneously to delay its occurrence, as recognized long ago. For increased effectiveness, these practices should be deployed prior to resistance development. To this end, the implementation of insect resistance management (IRM) programs as a component of a broader IPM program is recommended. Basic principles of such programs should include pest complex monitoring in the field for changes in population density, focus on economic damage, resistance mitigation and integration of multiple strategies of control. Importantly, *Bt* Technologies should be seen as a pest management tool and should be used within an intensive management program including practices of IPM and IRM. The paradigm that biotechnologies should be able to sustain themselves in tropical environments needs to be challenged, and programs focused on insect resistance management need to be implemented. To that extent, effective refuge areas (focused on producing susceptible insects, and not yet another yield plot) need to be implemented, along with multiple IPM approaches to reduce pest pressure levels and intervention with chemical applications to delay resistance development.

5 CONCLUSION

We found no fitness costs associated with Cry1Fa resistance in two *S. frugiperda* strains with distinct genetic backgrounds representative of Brazilian populations. This finding is consistent with the non-reversal trend in the proportion of resistant individuals in the laboratory, indicating that the resistance is likely to be stable in the absence of selective pressure under field settings and that the intensity of natural selection against the resistance alleles is likely weak, which helps to explain their quick rise in field populations of *S. frugiperda*. The unfavorable profile of fall armyworm resistance to *Bt* crops documented so far, together with the consistently low adoption of refuge (or its low effectiveness for resistance management owing to insecticide applications), as well as the lack of IPM implementation, places all biotechnologies at risk and needs to be improved if we are to sustain the utility of *Bt* proteins for pest management.
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