Grazing management strategies for massaigrass-forage peanut pastures. 3. Definition of sward targets and carrying capacity
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ABSTRACT - This study was carried out to define sward management targets for mixed Massaigrass (Panicum maximum x P. infestum, cv. Massai) and forage peanut (Arachis pintoi Ac 01) pastures in the Western Brazilian Amazon. Seasonal variation in the pasture carrying capacity was also analyzed. Pastures were intermittently stocked at three daily herbage allowance levels (9.0, 14.5 and 18.4% of live weight) from October 2002 to December 2003. Sward targets were defined in terms of the sward condition that best conciliated the grass-legume balance, the maintenance of the structure of Massaigrass tussocks and the equilibrium between forage production and utilization. For the Western Brazilian Amazon conditions, the following sward management targets can be recommended for mixed Massaigrass and forage peanut pastures under intermittent stocking: pre-grazing height ranging from 50-55 cm (June to September) to 65-70 cm (October to May), and post-grazing height from 30-35 cm (June to September) to 35-40 cm (October to May). Annual carrying capacity of this mixed pasture in 2003 was 2.7 AU/ha. The average carrying capacity during the dry season (1.8 AU/ha) was 50% lower than that observed during the rainy season (3.6 AU/ha).
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Introduction

The development of grazing management strategies for grass-legume pastures is an important step to ensure widespread adoption of the technology; however, it is not a simple task (Valentim & Andrade, 2004). Even in temperate countries, with a longer history of research in grass-legume pastures, there is a high degree of uncertainty about grazing management strategies to control species balance in mixed pastures (Hodgson & Silva, 2000). Some factors that complicate the definition of grazing management strategies for grass-legume pastures include: a) competition for resources (light, water and nutrients) among species; b) differences related to reactions to grazing; c) differences related to animal preference; and, d) differences in reaction to climate variations (Spain, 1995; Lascano, 2000). In tropical regions, an additional difficulty in understanding and managing grass-legume pastures is the great diversity of...
Hodgson (1990) and Briske & Heitschmidt (1991) showed that animal production under grazing is the result of the efficiency of three processes: (1) forage production, (2) forage consumption and (3) forage conversion in animal product. These authors also showed that the efficiency of the second process (utilization) is inversely related to the efficiencies of the two other processes. This is the reason why it is not possible to maximize, at the same time, pasture production and pasture utilization (Parsons et al., 1983), in the same way that it is not possible to maximize forage consumption per animal and forage consumption per unit area, simultaneously (Hodgson, 1990). Thus, the essence of grazing management is to reach a harmonic balance among the efficiencies of the three processes (Hodgson, 1990; Briske & Heitschmidt, 1991). With grass-legume pastures another factor that needs to be considered is the maintenance of the botanical composition, especially legume persistence. This point is as important for pasture sustainability (Thomas, 1992, 1995; Boddey et al., 1997) as for animal performance, especially in the case of legumes showing high nutritional quality and palatability, such as *Arachis pintoi* (Lascano, 1995; 2000). Therefore, the definition of grazing management strategies for grass-legume pastures is a more complex task than for grass-only pastures.

The concept of sward state was proposed by Hodgson (1985) to define grazing management strategies for temperate pastures. According to this concept studies designed to define grazing management strategies should be based on control and manipulation of specific sward characteristics, either in steady state or following some specific pattern of variation. Hodgson (1985) also considered that variations in conventional management parameters such as stocking rate, grazing pressure and grazing cycle are now seen as part of the strategy to maintain target sward conditions rather than as the main grazing management strategy. Besides flexibility and capacity to integrate several important variables, such as regrowth mechanisms, sward structure, botanical composition and forage intake, grazing management based on sward targets also presents as positive attributes objectivity and easiness of practical application. In temperate countries, sward targets have been defined in terms of sward height or forage mass, and experimental results have been directly applied to production systems (Hodgson, 1990; Matthews et al., 1999). Researchers of tropical areas already recognized the progress of this concept (Humphreys, 1997). In Brazil, there has been a growing interest in developing grazing management strategies based on descriptors of sward condition, and recent studies indicates that this concept could be applied to tropical pastures without great adaptations (Hodgson & Silva, 2002).

In this paper, data presented in two previous papers (Andrade et al., 2006 a, b) were integrated with the objective of defining sward management targets for mixed massaigrass and forage peanut pastures in the Western Brazilian Amazon. Seasonal variation in the carrying capacity of this pasture was also analyzed.

**Material and Methods**

This experiment was carried out at the Experimental Research Station of Embrapa Acre (10°01’59” S and 67°42’13” W), in Rio Branco, AC, Brazil, between February 2002 and December 2003. Annual rainfall of 1,900 mm, a mean temperature of 25°C and 87% mean relative humidity characterize the local climate. The experimental area consisted of one 1,800 m² area that was established in 1992 with massaigrass and planted with forage peanut (*A. pintoi* Ac 01) in 1994. The soil is classified as a Red-Yellow Argissol (pH H₂O, 6.0; P and K (Mehlich-1), 1.7 and 86.0 mg/dm³; Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺, 5.15 and 0.83 cmol+/dm³; H + Al³⁺, 2.81 cmol+/dm³; SB,6.21 cmol+/dm³; CTC pH7.0,9.02 cmol+/dm³; V, 68.6%; OM, 1.90 dag/dm³; clay, 18.3%; silt, 24.5%; sand, 57.2%). Triple super-phosphate (50 kg/ha of P₂O₅) was added to the pasture in March 2002.

Sward condition was initially characterized by excessive forage mass (9,500 kg/ha of drymatter), thus the experimental area was submitted to a pre-experimental management from February to September of 2002. During this period, the experimental area was grazed by Nelore steers according to an intermittently stocking system with 35 days grazing cycle (2-d grazing period and 33-d rest period) at a daily herbage allowance of 15 kg DM/100 kg live weight (15% LW).

The experimental period started in October 2002, when the experimental area was subdivided in nine 200 m² paddocks to implement the three daily herbage allowance levels of 7, 11 and 15% LW, in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Throughout the experimental period (from October 2002 to December 2003) average daily herbage allowance levels actually applied were 9.0, 14.5 and 18.4% LW. Pastures were stocked with Nelore steers, averaging from 180 to 360 kg of body weight, observing an
intermittent grazing system of 28 days grazing cycle (2-d grazing period and 26-d rest period) during the rainy season or of 35 days (2-d grazing period and 33-d rest period) during the dry season. Animals remained in experimental paddocks only during the 2-d grazing period, grazing an adjacent *Brachiaria brizantha* pasture during the rest period. Data from each grazing cycle were grouped into the following quarters: a) October-December, early rainy season; b) January-March, full rainy season; c) April-June, late rainy to early dry season; and, d) July-September, full dry season.

Pre- and post-grazing sward condition (forage mass, sward height and percentage of bare ground), pre-grazing botanical composition (grass, legume and weeds), dry matter accumulation rates, defoliation intensity, grazing depth and grazed horizon were evaluated in each grazing cycle. The structure of massaigrass tussocks was characterized in both the dry and the rainy seasons. A complete description regarding the methodology used in the assessment of these parameters and data analysis were presented in two previous papers (Andrade et al., 2006 a,b).

The approach used to define the sward management targets in the present study was to submit the mixed pasture to three daily herbage allowance levels (9.0, 14.5 and 18.4% live weight), under intermittent stocking, in such a way as to establish different pre- and post-grazing sward conditions. The sward targets for this mixed pasture were thus established based on the sward condition that best conciliated the grass-legume balance, the maintenance of the structure of the grass tussocks and the equilibrium between the efficiencies of the first two steps of the animal production process under grazing: forage production and utilization. Sward targets were established for the periods of higher (October to May) and lower forage growth (June to September), similarly to what has been done in temperate countries (Hodgson, 1990; Matthews et al., 1999). Sward height (pre- and post-grazing) was the indicator chosen to define the sward targets recommended in this study, primarily because of its easiness of practical application in the real conditions of commercial farms.

In the present study, the carrying capacity (animal unit/ha) was determined according to the stocking rates applied to establish the daily herbage allowance determining the ideal (critical) sward condition. The annual carrying capacity in 2003 was calculated as the average stocking rate along the year. Also, the variation of stocking rates used in each grazing cycle permitted to establish the seasonal variation of the carrying capacity for this mixed pasture along the year 2003.

### Results and Discussion

The percentage of forage peanut associated with massaigrass was higher in shorter swards established by using lower herbage allowance (HA) levels, however higher pasture productivity was obtained in taller swards (Table 1). This finding is in accordance with the literature (Hernandez et al., 1995; Ibrahim & Mannetje, 1998), and corroborates the analyses of Hodgson & Silva (2002) considering that the definition of grazing management strategies for mixed pastures with forage peanut needs to strike a balance between the forage production advantages of a relatively lax grazing and the advantages to legume content of a relatively hard grazing.

Pasture grazed at 18.4% LW was characterized by presenting high total DM production, however this was associated with low defoliation intensity and excessive sward height and forage mass, thus limiting the growth of forage peanut and causing the deterioration of the structure of the grass tussocks at the end of the experimental period (Table 1). The intermediate HA level (14.5% LW) provided better balance among pasture productivity, defoliation intensity and maintenance of the structure of massaigrass tussocks, although the growth of forage peanut was still limited under this condition. Pasture grazed at 9.0% LW allowed good growth of forage peanut and provided good control of the structure of the grass tussocks, with high proportion of green leaves at the end of the experimental period, but pasture productivity was reduced relative to the other treatments. In addition, at this HA level pasture showed increased percentage of bare ground (Andrade et al., 2006 a), an unwanted situation primarily in the Amazon region where cultivated pastures are usually under heavy biotic pressure caused by weeds (Dias-Filho, 2003). Considered all together, these results imply that the ideal sward condition for this mixed massaigrass-forage peanut pasture would be observed in swards managed under a HA level between 10 and 12% LW, which represents a sward condition intermediate between those observed for swards managed at the two lower HA levels.

The following sward targets can be recommended to guide the intermittent stocking management of mixed massaigrass-forage peanut pastures in the environmental conditions of the Western Brazilian Amazon: pre-grazing sward height ranging from 50-55 cm (June to September) to 65-70 cm (October to May), and post-grazing sward height from 30-35 cm (June to September) to 35-40 cm (October to May). Values suggested for the period of lower pasture growth (June to September) were different from those
Table 1 - Summary of sward condition, botanical and morphological composition, productivity and utilization of a mixed massaigrass and forage peanut pasture, according to herbage allowance levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Herbage allowance (% LW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sward height (cm) 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-grazing</td>
<td>51 – 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-grazing</td>
<td>30 – 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forage mass (t/ha)</td>
<td>3.4 – 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-grazing 1</td>
<td>2.0 – 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massaigrass 2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forage peanut 2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical composition (%) (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massaigrass</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forage peanut</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeds</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphological composition of massaigrass (%) 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green leaf lamina</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudostem</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead material</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity and utilization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual DM production (t/ha)</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defoliation intensity (%)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average stocking rate (AU/ha)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Average sward condition in the driest (Jul/Sep 2003) and rainiest periods (Oct/Dec 2003), respectively.
3 Pre-grazing morphological composition of massaigrass in Dec 2003.

established for the remaining of the year, mainly in the pre-grazing condition. Results obtained in the present study showed that, even reducing stocking rates and increasing the rest period, pastures presented lower sward height and forage mass in this period, because of the lower pasture growth. Therefore, due to climatic variation among years, it is important that there is enough flexibility in using sward targets established for the pre-grazing condition, primarily during the months of transition between the considered periods (May/Jun and Sep/Oct).

Although an important consideration about the use of these sward targets concerns animal performance, it was not directly included in the definition of the sward targets since it could not be measured. There is no reported study suggesting sward targets or HA levels for pure or mixed massaigrass pastures. For continuously stocked *Panicum maximum* cv. Tanzânia pastures, a HA level between 8 and 11% LW, based on green leaf mass, assured good animal performance and productivity (Barbosa et al., 2001). In another study, under intermittent stocking, it was suggested the use of a daily HA level above 6% LW (as green leaf mass) to assure better beef cattle performance on tanzaniagrass pastures (Penati, 2002). The lower HA level suggested for intermittently stocked pastures probably reflects the differences between the grazing methods in the calculation of herbage allowance (Pedreira, 2002). In intermittently stocked temperate pastures, herbage intake and animal performance increase at declining rates with increasing HA level, usually reaching a plateau at a daily HA level equal to 10-12% LW for most classes of stock (Hodgson, 1990). Despite these last data may suggest that the sward targets defined according to an HA level between 9.0 and 14.5% LW (equivalent to 11.7% LW) should assure satisfactory animal performance on mixed massaigrass and forage peanut pastures, the proposed sward targets should be considered as a first approximation to an advanced grazing management strategy for this mixture in the Western Brazilian Amazon. Ideally, these sward targets should be refined by additional studies planned to provide information about its relationship with animal performance.

The annual carrying capacity of this mixed pasture in 2003 was estimated to be 2.7 AU/ha, varying from 1.8 to 3.6 AU/ha, in the dry (Apr/Sep) and the rainy (Oct/Mar) seasons, respectively. These values are very good, considering that the pasture had never received chemical nitrogen fertilizer since it was established 12 years ago. Certainly, the contribution of the nitrogen biologically fixed by forage peanut was an important factor, as well as the high forage production capacity of massaigrass (Lempp et al., 2001; Valentim et al., 2001). In clipped studies, the annual DM production of massaigrass was 16 and 12% higher when compared with cultivars Tanzânia and Mombaça, respectively (Valentim & Moreira, 1994). In the Brazilian Cerrado, massaigrass also presented higher carrying capacity during the rainy season than tanzaniagrass and mombaçagrass (Brâncio, 2003).

Pasture carrying capacity depends on many factors such as climate, soil, management and species productivity (Gomide et al., 2001). Thus, it must be emphasized that the values obtained in the present work are specific for the year 2003, and for the soil, paddock size and grazing management conditions of this study, and consequently could not be extrapolated directly to other pastures of the region. However, the analysis of the seasonal variation in the carrying capacity presented by this mixed pasture can be used as a starting point for planning animal production systems in the region, especially in relation to feed budget on farms.

Seasonal variation in carrying capacity, in DM accumulation rates and in rainfall in the Municipal district of Rio Branco-AC, through the year 2003, are shown in Figure 1. As expected, seasonal variation in carrying capacity followed a trend very similar to that of pasture productivity (r = 0.92), although the correlation with rainfall was inferior (r = 0.50). The association between carrying capacity and
pasture productivity was especially high during the period of higher pasture growth (October to April). With the onset of the dry season, carrying capacity decreased less than pasture productivity, evidencing a certain buffering capacity from the accumulated forage mass. Overall, carrying capacity during the dry season was equivalent to 50% of that estimated for the rainy season.

When carrying capacity data from each grazing cycle were regressed against the respective DM accumulation rate data, a well-fitted linear equation was obtained (Figure 2), indicating that DM accumulation rate accounted for 85% of the variation in carrying capacity. The equation also indicated that carrying capacity increased 1.0 AU/ha per each increase of 29.07 kg/ha/day in pasture DM accumulation rate.

It is interesting to notice that higher pasture productivity and carrying capacity were observed in Oct/Dec, in comparison with Jan/Mar (Figure 1A), although higher rainfall was observed in Jan/Mar (Figure 1B). This finding is in line with the observations of farmers and researchers in the region. In mixed pastures with A. pintoi cv. Amarillo and several Brachiaria species, established in a site with annual rainfall of 2,300 mm in Colombia, higher DM accumulation rates also happened during the first quarter of the rainy season (Grof, 1985). Also in Colombia, lower DM accumulation rates in mixed pastures (Brachiaria spp., A. pintoi and D. ovalifolium) were measured during the three months of highest rainfalls (Fisher & Cruz, 1995). For these authors, this finding could be related to: a) lower radiation levels, due to the cloudy weather; b) damages caused to the plants by the trampling of the grazing animals on the very wet soils; and c) nutritional problems associated with waterlogged soils.

In the state of Acre, although mean temperatures were similar (25°C) in both periods, historically the number of solar radiation hours during the Oct/Dec period is 25% higher than in Jan/Mar, a period when a cloudy weather is quite intense. Another possible factor involved could be a higher nitrogen availability for pasture growth at the beginning of the rainy season, due to the decomposition of organic residues that were deposited on the soil during the dry season, especially in the case of grass-legume pastures, because legumes such as A. pintoi generally shed part of their leaves during the dry season in response to water deficit (Ludlow, 1980; Fisher & Cruz, 1995).

Conclusions

For the Western Brazilian Amazon conditions, the following sward management targets can be recommended as a first approximation to a good management of mixed massaigrass-forage peanut pastures under intermittent stocking: pre-grazing height ranging from 50-55 cm (June to September) to 65-70 cm (October to May), and post-grazing height from 30-35 cm (June to September) to 35-40 cm (October to May).
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